

SCHLEICHER'S FABLE

The first to compose a text in Proto-Indo-European was August Schleicher (1868). Emendations of his text were published by Hirt and Arntz (1939), Lehmann and Zgusta (1979), and others. Though these efforts must not be taken too seriously, they can serve as an illustration of the linguistic systems reconstructed by their authors. As my chief concern has always been the relative chronology of linguistic developments, it may be appropriate to present my version of Schleicher's fable for a number of successive reconstructed systems, viz. Proto-Indo-European, non-Anatolian Indo-European, Classic Indo-European (excluding Tocharian), Central Indo-European (excluding Italo-Celtic), *satəm* Indo-European (excluding Greek and Germanic), Balto-Slavic, East Baltic, and modern Lithuanian. As I have stuck as much as possible to Schleicher's and Hirt's versions, many of the choices made in the following are far from compelling. It must be emphasized that the purpose of this exercise is purely to illustrate the consequences of a systematic approach and to exemplify the cohesive nature of the reconstructions.

For the reconstruction of Proto-Indo-European I refer to my outline (K272 = 2010). The absence of lengthening in Vedic *ávih* 'sheep' shows that the coloring of **e* to **o* after **ŋw* was more recent than Brugmann's law in Indo-Iranian (cf. Lubotsky 1990). Since the distinction between the three laryngeals was preserved in Greek (Central IE) and Armenian (*satəm* IE), it was not lost before Balto-Slavic times, when they merged with the glottalic feature of the PIE "unaspirated voiced" stops into a glottal stop (Winter's law, cf. K263 = 2009: 44f.). The rise of the semivowels /j/ and /w/ resulted from the loss of the laryngeals in initial position. The relative pronoun **io-* cannot be reconstructed for Anatolian and Tocharian, where the uninflected form **i* may have survived at an early stage (cf. K263 = 2009: 145). The word for 'wool' is a *ī*-stem in Latin (Classic IE) and other languages, perhaps a collective of a *?n*-derivative, cf. Arm. *gełmn*, which appears as Hittite *huliya-* beside *hulana-*, pointing to an original form **ñueli* (cf. Kloekhorst 2008: 357f., Beekes 2010: 858). If the reconstruction of a zero grade root vowel in Old Irish *ní* 'is not', Slavic *ně* is correct (cf. K239 = 2007: 126), the vowel of the monosyllable **ne?st* was regularly lengthened in Proto-Indo-European (cf. Wackernagel 1896: 66-68) and the following laryngeal was lost in the *satəm* languages (cf. K263 = 2009: 52-57). The final *-*t* was lost after an obstruent in non-Anatolian Indo-European (cf. K272 = 2010: 40).

The PIE word for 'horse' is attested in Hittite *ekku- < *?ekeu-* (cf. Kloekhorst 2008: 237-239), of which Greek *ἵππος* < **?iķuос* represents the original ergative case, with reduction of pretonic **e* to **i* before a consonant cluster (cf. Ko65 = 1987: 222 and Ko69 = 1985). The *u*-stem must have been preserved in Balto-Slavic times in view of Lith. *ašvà* because the cluster **kw* was depalatalized before a back vowel in this branch of Indo-European (cf. K263 = 2009: 43). The acc.pl. ending *-*ms* was preserved in Anatolian (cf. Kloekhorst 2008: 929). The thematic aorist, e.g. Vedic *ávidat* 'found', probably represents an earlier root aorist, cf. *áraik* 'left' beside Greek *ἔλιπε*, Latin *-līquit*, with regular lengthening in the monosyllabic injunctive forms (cf. K188 = 2004: 14 and K239 = 2007: 155). The word-final fortis stop became glottalic in the non-Anatolian languages (cf. K272 = 2010: 40). There is evidence for the augment **?e* in Tocharian (cf. K149 = 1996) and for its partial replacement by the enclitic particle

**tu* in Balto-Slavic (cf. K263 = 2009: 285). The aorist suffix *-e⁷ became *-ē word-finally in Balto-Slavic (cf. K239 = 2007: 84 and K263 = 2009: 187).

PROTO-INDO-EUROPEAN	NON-ANATOLIAN INDO-EUROPEAN
<p>ǵʷeuis i ǵueli nē?st ?eǵ:ums uēit:, t:o kʷ'r̥eum uoǵom uǵent:m, t:o mǵ'eńm porom, t:o t̥kmenm ?o?k:u prent:m. uēuk:t ǵʷeuis ?iǵ:uos, ǵetko ?me k:ért: ǵnerm uit'ent:i ?eǵ:ums ǵk'ent:m. ueuk:nt: ?iǵ:ues, k:lutி ǵʷue, ǵetko nsme k:ért: uit'ent:i, ǵnér p:ot:is ǵʷuiom ǵueli sue kʷermom uesti kʷ:rneut:i, ǵʷuēi kʷ:e ǵueli ne?sti. t:o k:ek:luus ǵʷeuis pleńnom pēuk't.</p>	<p>ǵʷeuis i ǵuel?n nē?s ?eǵ:uns ?e uēit', t:om kʷ'r̥eum uoǵom ueǵont:m, t:om mǵ'eńm porom, t:om t̥kmenm ?o?k:u peront:m. ?e uēuk: ǵʷeuis ?eǵ:umus, ǵetko ?me k:ért' ǵnerm uit'ent:i ?eǵ:uns ǵeǵ'ont:m. ?e ueuk:nt' ?iǵ:ues, k:lutி ǵʷuei, ǵetko nsme k:ért' uit'ent:i, ǵnér p:ot:is ǵʷuiom ǵul?enm sue kʷermom uesti kʷ:rneut:i, ǵʷuimus kʷ:e ǵuel?n ne?sti. t:ot' k:ek:luus ǵʷeuis pleńnom ?e pēuk'.</p>

CLASSIC INDO-EUROPEAN	CENTRAL INDO-EUROPEAN
<p>ǵʷeuis ioi ǵul?neń nē?s ?eǵuns ?e uēi'd, tom 'gʷr̥eum uoǵom ueǵontm, tom m'ǵeńm borom, tom dǵmenm ?o?k:u berontm. ?e uēuk ǵʷeuis ?eǵumus, ǵedǵo ?moi kér'd ǵnerm ui'denti ?eǵuns ǵe'ǵontm. ?e ueukn'd ?iǵues, kłudi ǵʷuei, ǵedǵo nsni kér'd ui'denti, ǵnér potis ǵʷuiom ǵul?neńm subi gʷermom uesti kʷrneuti, ǵʷuimus kʷe ǵul?neń ne?sti. to'd kękluuš ǵʷeuis pleńnom ?e bēu'g.</p>	<p>ǵʷeuis ioi ǵul?neń nē?s ?eǵuns ?e uēi'd, tom 'gʷr̥um uoǵom ueǵontm, tom m'ǵām borom, tom ǵmenm ?o?k:u berontm. ?e uēuk ǵʷeuis ?eǵumus, ǵedǵoi ?moi kér'd ǵnerm ui'denti ?eǵuns ǵe'ǵontm. ?e ueukn'd ?iǵues, kłudi ǵʷuei, ǵedǵoi nsni kér'd ui'denti, ǵnér potis ǵʷuiom ǵul?nām subi gʷermom uesti kʷrneuti, ǵʷuimus kʷe ǵul?neń ne?sti. to'd kękluuš ǵʷeuis pleńnom ?e bēu'g.</p>

Pronominal **to*, like **i*, may have been uninflected at an early stage (cf. K272 = 2010: 41). The stem **in-* developed an acute in Balto-Slavic times (cf. Derksen 2003). Word-final *-om became *-un in Balto-Slavic (cf. K263 = 2009: 43f.). The ablaut in *u*-stem adjectives appears to have been preserved in Italo-Celtic and lost in Central Indo-European. The thematic *nt*-participle was an innovation of the non-Anatolian languages. Word-final *-eńm was probably preserved in Italo-Celtic and became *-ām in Central Indo-European (cf. K263 = 2009: 23 and 132f.), e.g. Greek ἄγαν '(too) much'. Clusters of dental plus velar stops lost their initial element before a consonant in Central Indo-European or earlier (cf. K268 = 2010: 363). Greek ὁκύς 'fast' may be related to the word for 'horse'.

The Vedic 3rd sg. subjunctive *vócati* beside *vócati* 'speak' points to an original athematic reduplicated aorist injunctive with a long vowel in the monosyllabic 3rd sg. form (cf. K188 = 2004: 9f.). For Balto-Slavic I reconstruct *tēr, cf. Hittite *ter-*, Lith. *tařti*, Prussian acc.sg. *tārin* 'voice' (=Latin *vōcem*). The dat.pl. ending *-mus is an innovation of the non-Anatolian languages, where it replaced the general oblique plural ending *-os which is still found in Anatolian (cf. Kloekhorst 2008: 214). For

Greek *ἄχνυμαι* ‘grieve’, *ἄχθομαι* ‘be grieved’, Hittite *hatk-* ‘tighten’ I reconstruct an original stative ‘be tight’ with reduction of the cluster **d^hg^h* before the nasal suffix in Greek. If Old Irish *-ágathar* ‘fears’ is related, which seems probable, the conditioned reduction of the cluster can be dated to the Classic Indo-European period. The primary ending **-oi* dates from the Central Indo-European period (cf. Ko44 = 1981). The oblique case forms **?me* ‘me’, **tue* ‘thee’, **nsme* ‘us’, **usme* ‘you’ may have been uninflected before they adopted the dat.loc. ending **-i* in Classic Indo-European times (cf. K223 = 2005 and K272 = 2010: 42). The word for ‘heart’ had a long vowel in the monosyllabic nom.acc. form, where the final stop is preserved in Armenian *sirt* and lost in Balto-Slavic, e.g. Prussian *seyr*. The participial dat.loc. form in **-enti* is coreferential with the oblique pronoun and developed into the Lithuanian gerund.

SATƏM INDO-EUROPEAN	BALTO-SLAVIC
<p>ń^weuiš ioi ńul?neń nēs ?ekuns ?e uēi'd, tom 'g^wr̥sum uogom ueğontm, tom me'gām borom, tom ġmenem ?o?ku berontm. ?e uēuk ń^weuiš ?ekumuş, ūedgoi ?moi kē'r'd ūermi ui'denti ?ekuns ūe'ğontm. ?e ueukn'd ?ekues, kludi ń^weuei, ūedgoi nsmi kē'r'd ui'denti, ūnēr potiš ń^weuiom ńul?nām subi g^wermom uesti k^wrneuti, ń^weuimuş k^we ńul?neń ne?sti. to'd kekluuş ń^weuiš pleňnom ?e bēu'g.</p>	<p>'owiš joi wi'lna' nēs 'ećuns wi'dē, i'nun tingun wožun welkontin, i'nun welin krowun, i'nun žmōnin buržu nešontin. tēr tu 'owiš 'ećumuş, bolei mini čēr wi'run wi'denti 'ećuns genontin. tren tu 'ećues, čludi 'owei, bolei i'nmuş čēr wi'denti, wi'ros potiš iż 'owiun wi'lnās subi teplān drōbin kurneuti, 'ā 'owimuş wi'lna' ne'sti. to člu'suš 'owiš pla'nun be'ga'.</p>

EAST BALTIc	LITHUANIAN
<p>avìs kurí' netùri vī'lnās règē zí'rgo's, vē'nä smàgū' s ràtqo's vèlkantj, vē'nä didj kràvą, vē'nä žmónij grétaí nèšantj.</p> <p>avìs sàkē zí'rgàmus, màni sá'pā sí'rđis règinti vī'rą gènantj zí'rgo's. zí'rgai sàkē, klàusi' avé, mùmus sá'pā sí'rđis règinti, vī'ras patiš iż avé s vī'lnās sèvi dàrā šiltą drōbi, ā àvies vī'lnās netùri. gìrdusi' tà avìs bē'gā į lí'gumą.</p>	<p>avìs kurí netùri vīlnos pamâtē árklius, vîeną sunkiùs ratùs tráukiantj, vîeną dìdelj króvinj, vîeną žmögų greitai nèšantj. avìs pasákē arkliáms, mán šîrdj skaûda mâtant výrą gènantj árklius. arkliái pasákē, klausyk aviē, mùms šîrdj skaûda mâtant, výras viëspats iš aviēs vîlnos sáu dâro šiltą drabùžj, ō ávys vîlnos netùri. išgiřdusi taī avìs pabégo į lýguma.</p>

The original nom.pl. ending of the consonant stems was **-es* (cf. K272 = 2010: 40). The vocative was marked by apocope before the particle **e* and later restoration of the suffix in the consonant stems (cf. Beekes 1985: 99-108), e.g. Lith. *aviē* < **owe-i*. The gen.pl. form in **-om* developed from an uninflected predicative nominal (cf. Ko30 = 1978 and K272 = 2010: 40). The creation of the reflexive dat.sg. form **subhi* beside the general oblique case form **sue* can be dated to the Classic Indo-European period. The PIE locative form in **-ēi* may have been used for both singular and plural

referents before the creation of separate case endings in the non-Anatolian languages (cf. K272 = 2010: 42). For Greek *ἔφυγε* ‘fled’, Latin *fūgit* I again reconstruct a root aorist (cf. K239 = 2007: 155).

Translation of the text (cf. Lehmann & Zgusta 1979: 456, 462): “A sheep that had no wool saw horses, one pulling a heavy cart, one carrying a big load, and one quickly carrying a man. The sheep said to the horses: My heart pains me seeing a man driving horses. The horses said: Listen sheep, our hearts pain us when we see that a man, the master, makes the wool of sheep into a warm garment for himself, and the sheep have no wool. Having heard this, the sheep fled into the plain.”

References

- Beekes, Robert. 1985. *The origins of the Indo-European nominal inflection* (Innsbruck: Institut für Sprachwissenschaft).
- Beekes, Robert. 2010. *Etymological dictionary of Greek* (Leiden: Brill).
- Derksen, Rick. 2003. Slavic *j̥-. *Studies in Slavic and General Linguistics* 30: Dutch Contributions to the Thirteenth International Congress of Slavists (Ljubljana, 2003), *Linguistics*, 97-105.
- Hirt, Herman, & Helmut Arntz. 1939. *Die Hauptprobleme der indogermanischen Sprachwissenschaft* (Halle/Saale: Niemeyer).
- Kloekhorst, Alwin. 2008. *Etymological dictionary of the Hittite inherited lexicon* (Leiden: Brill).
- Ko30 = 1978. On the history of the genitive plural in Slavic, Baltic, Germanic, and Indo-European. *Lingua* 45, 281-300.
- Ko44 = 1981. 1st sg. middle *-H₂. *Indogermanische Forschungen* 86, 123-136.
- Ko65 = 1987. Archaic ablaut patterns in the Vedic verb. *Festschrift for Henry Hoenigswald* (Tübingen: Gunter Narr), 219-223.
- Ko69 = 1985. On reduced vowels in Slavic. *Zbornik za Filologiju i Lingvistiku* 27-28 (Fs. Ivić), 367-368.
- K149 = 1996. The Tocharian imperfect. *Historische Sprachforschung* 109/2, 169-174.
- K188 = 2004. Accent and ablaut in the Vedic verb. *Indo-Iranian Journal* 47/1, 7-15.
- K223 = 2005. Hittite *ammuk* ‘me’. *Orpheus* 15, 7-10.
- K239 = 2007. *Italo-Celtic origins and prehistoric development of the Irish language* (Amsterdam: Rodopi).
- K263 = 2009. *Baltica & Balto-Slavica* (Amsterdam: Rodopi).
- K272 = 2010. *Studies in Germanic, Indo-European and Indo-Uralic* (Amsterdam: Rodopi).
- Lehmann, Winfred P., & Ladislav Zgusta. 1979. Schleicher’s tale after a century. *Festschrift for Oswald Szemerényi on the occasion of his 65th birthday I* (Amsterdam: Benjamins), 455-466.
- Lubotsky, Alexander. 1990. La loi de Brugmann et *H₃e-. *La reconstruction des laryngales* (Paris: Les Belles Lettres), 129-136.
- Schleicher, August. 1868. Eine fabel in indogermanischer ursprache. *Beiträge zur vergleichenden Sprachforschung* 5, 206-208.
- Wackernagel, Jakob. 1896. *Altindische Grammatik I: Lautlehre* (Göttingen: Vandenhoeck & Ruprecht).